

# U.S. EPA's use of Read-Across in Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Value Assessments


Jason C. Lambert, PhD, DABT

U.S. EPA, National Center for Computational Toxicology

Understanding and Applying Read-Across for Human  
Health Risk Assessment Workshop

OEHHA, Oakland ,CA

May 2, 2019






The views expressed in this presentation are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

The author has no conflicts of interest to disclose




## Outline of this presentation


- What are PPRTVs?
- Advent of Appendix Screening Values: Expert-Driven Read Across
- *n*-Heptane example
- *p,p'*-DDD example (integrating ToxCast bioactivity)
- Limitations and challenges



# Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values

- Annual goal: Derive provisional human health reference values for 12 priority chemicals for OLEM/Regions when such values are not available from IRIS
- OSWER Directive 9285.7-53 (Dec. 5, 2003) established a hierarchy for selecting Human Health Toxicity Values for use in Superfund Risk Assessments






# Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values

- For over two decades, the PPRTV program has developed human health assessments for chemicals with highly variable hazard databases
- Includes development of subchronic and chronic non-cancer reference values (RfVs) and cancer values for chemicals of interest to OLEM/Regions
- A data-rich PPRTV assessment might provide up to six provisional values
- PPRTV 'appendixes' were a key development circa 2007


## IRIS and ISA




- Approach is based on evidence integration and synthesis to select the best analogue chemical based on three proposed similarity contexts/categories (Wang et al., 2012)
- Similarity Contexts are:
  - (1) structural (including physchem),
  - (2) metabolic, and
  - (3) toxicity-like
- Analogue chemicals are selected based on evidence across all three similarity contexts (i.e., analyses are integrated not sequential/linear)
- The POD(s) from the selected analogue is used as a surrogate to derive screening PPRTVs for a data-poor target chemical of concern




<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0273230012000323>



# General Expert-Driven Read Across Workflow



*Adapted from Wang et al., (2012)*




## *n*-Heptane (CASRN 142-82-5)



- Saturated aliphatic hydrocarbon
- Used as a non-polar solvent and also as a standard in gasoline engine testing
- Requested for human health assessment
- Poor hazard and dose-response database




Physicochemical Properties of <i>n</i> -Heptane	
Property (unit)	Value
Physical state	Liquid
Molecular weight (g/mol)	100.21
Vapor pressure (mmHg at 25°C)	46
Henry's Law constant (atm-m <sup>3</sup> /mol at 25°C) (estimated)	2.27
Solubility in water (g/L at 25 C)	0.0034
Octanol-water partition constant (log K <sub>ow</sub> )	4.66





# Identify Structural Analogues of *n*-Heptane

- Identify commonalities in structural/physicochemical properties between potential surrogates *that have available toxicity values*, and target chemical of concern


Table A-1. Physicochemical Properties of <i>n</i> -Heptane (CASRN 142-82-5) and Structural Analogs <sup>a</sup>			
Chemical	<i>n</i> -Heptane	<i>n</i> -Hexane	<i>n</i> -Nonane
Structure			
CASRN	142-82-5	110-54-3	111-84-2
Molecular weight	100.21	86.18	128.26
DSSTox similarity score (%) <sup>b</sup>	100	85.7	87.5
ChemIDplus similarity score (%) <sup>c</sup>	100	82.7	84.6
Melting point (°C)	-90.6	-95.3	-53.5
Boiling point (°C)	98.5	68.7	150.8
Vapor pressure (mm Hg at 25°C)	46	151.3	4.45
Henry's law constant (atm·m <sup>3</sup> /mole at 25°C)	2.27 (estimated) <sup>a</sup>	1.71 (estimated) <sup>a</sup>	4 (estimated) <sup>a</sup>
Water solubility (mg/L)	3.4	9.5	0.22
Log K <sub>ow</sub>	4.66	3.9	5.65
pKa	NA	NA	NA

<sup>a</sup>Data was gathered from PHYSPROP database for each respective compound unless otherwise specified (U.S. EPA, 2012b).

<sup>b</sup>DSSTox (2015).

<sup>c</sup>ChemIDplus Advanced, similarity scores (ChemIDplus, 2016).

NA = not applicable.




## Identify Toxicokinetic Similarities

- Identify commonalities primarily in metabolism (metabolic precursors, metabolites), but also absorption, distribution, and excretion, between potential analogue chemicals that have available toxicity values, and target chemical of concern

Table A-2. Summary of Metabolites for <i>n</i> -Heptane (CASRN 142-82-5) and Structural Analogs				
Chemical	Route	Species	Metabolites in Urine	References
<i>n</i> -Heptane	Inhalation (1,800 ppm for 6 h)	Rat/M	2-heptanol (46.3), 3-heptanol (35.2), $\gamma$ -valerolactone (11.5), 2-heptanone (3.5), 3-heptanone (1.5), and 4-heptanone (1.2), 2,5-heptanedione (0.8) over 24 h <sup>a</sup>	<u>Perbellini et al. (1986)</u>
<i>n</i> -Hexane	Inhalation (1,000 ppm for 8 h)	Rat/M	2-hexanol (57), 2,5-hexanedione (33), 3-hexanol (6), and 1-hexanol (3), 2-hexanone (1) over 24 h <sup>a</sup>	<u>Fedtke and Bolt (1986)</u>
<i>n</i> -Nonane	Oral (800 mg/kg-d)	Rat/M	$\gamma$ -valerolactone (38.6), 2-nonanol (17.9), 3-nonanol (10.7), 4-nonanol (3.5), 5-methyl-2-(3-oxobutyl) furan (3.2), $\delta$ -hexanolactone (2.8), 2,5-hexanedione (1) over 48 h <sup>b</sup>	<u>Serve et al. (1995)</u>

<sup>a</sup>Percentage of total metabolites in urine.




<sup>b</sup>Relative abundance of metabolites in urine.



# Identify Toxicity/Bioactivity Similarities


- Identify commonalities in toxicity (e.g., effect levels, target organs/tissues) and/or bioactivity between potential analogue(s) (with available toxicity values) and data-poor target chemical of concern.


Table A-3. Comparison of Available Repeated-Dose Oral Toxicity Data for *n*-Heptane (CASRN 142-82-5) and Structural Analogs

Chemical	<i>n</i> -Heptane	<i>n</i> -Hexane	<i>n</i> -Nonane
Structure			
CASRN	142-82-5	110-54-3	111-84-2
Repeat-dose toxicity—oral, subchronic			
POD (mg/kg-d)	NA	785	3.13
POD type	NA	LOAEL	BMDL <sub>10</sub>
Subchronic UF <sub>c</sub>	NA	3,000	1,000
Subchronic p-RfD (mg/kg-d)	NA	$3 \times 10^{-1}$	$3 \times 10^{-3}$
Critical effects	Irritative and <b>proliferative forestomach</b> lesions and potential effects in the liver, kidney, and adrenal glands at a dose of 2,860 mg/kg-d. Lack of neurotoxicity at doses up to 2,860 mg/kg-d based on histological evaluation (13-wk rat study).	Decreased MNCV associated with peripheral neuropathy	<b>Proliferative forestomach</b> lesions with varying degrees of hyperplasia and hyperkeratosis of the squamous epithelium
Other effects		Hind-limb paralysis accompanied by evidence of peripheral neuropathy and testicular effects based on histopathology at a dose of 2,843 mg/kg-d (90-d rat study)	Additional effects in principal study: histopathological lesions in the duodenum (rats) and rectum (rats and mice) at doses $\geq 1,000$ mg/kg-d; nasal and pulmonary lesions, possibly due to aspiration (rats and mice). Increases in liver and lung weights at a dose of 5,000 mg/kg-d and dose-related increases in adrenal gland and ovary weights at doses $\geq 1,000$ mg/kg-d. No significant neurohistopathology or neurobehavioral abnormalities reported in rats or mice at doses up to 5,000 mg/kg-d
Species	NA	Rat (M)	Mouse (M) and Rat (F)
Duration	NA	8 wk	90 d
Route (method)	NA	Oral (gavage)	Oral (gavage)







- Similarity Context 1: high structural similarity to *n*-Heptane (>84%)
- Similarity Context 2: *n*-Nonane is metabolized *in vivo* similarly to *n*-Heptane (higher relative amounts of the 2- and 3-alcohol and  $\gamma$ -valerolactone metabolites formed, compared to the neurotoxic  $\gamma$ -diketone compounds from *n*-Hexane candidate analogue)
- Similarity Context 3: *n*-Nonane-induced proliferative forestomach lesions are similar to the lesions observed after oral *n*-Heptane exposure (as compared to unique *n*-Hexane-induced neurotoxicity)






# Identify Structural Analogues of p,p'-DDD

Table 1. Structural Analogues of p,p'-DDD


Table 1. Structural Analogues of p,p'-DDD				
Target Chemical		Analogues <sup>a</sup>		
Name	p,p'-Dichlorodiphenyl dichloroethane (p,p'-DDD)	p,p'-Dichlorodiphenyl trichloroethane (p,p'-DDT)	p,p'-Dichlorodiphenyl dichloroethylene (p,p'-DDE)	p,p'-Dimethoxydiphenyl trichloroethane (Methoxychlor)
CASRN	72-54-8	50-29-3	72-55-9	72-43-5
Structure				
ChemIDplus similarity score (%)	100	77	67	65
DSSTox similarity score (%)	100	96	61	52


<sup>a</sup>Analogues represent a set of structurally similar chemicals identified using two publicly available similarity databases (ChemIDplus and DSSTOX) prefiltered on the basis of availability of health reference values for non-cancer oral toxicity from regulatory agencies, including ATSDR (2002a, b) and U.S. EPA (2017 b, c).



# Putative Toxicity Targets for p,p'-DDD and Analogues


- Liver
- Reproductive






# Analysis of Similarities in Bioactivity between *p,p'*-DDD and Analogues


- *p,p'*-DDD and analogues exhibit similarities in cell-specific responses and target gene pathways in *in vitro* ToxCast assays conducted in Human Liver Cells






# Comparative receptor activation between p,p'-DDD and Analogues

- p,p'-DDD and analogues exhibit similar upregulation of Steroid/Xenobiotic-sensing Nuclear Receptors in *in vitro* ToxCast assays conducted in Hepatoma HepG2 Cells








# Summary of Comparative ER/AR Bioactivity

- p,p'-DDD and analogues exhibit similar estrogenic and anti-androgenic activities in *in vitro* ToxCast assays and model predictions for the ER and AR across multiple tissues and cell lines

Table 2. ToxCast Bioactivity Summary and Model Prediction Scores (AUC values) for ER and AR activities <sup>a</sup>				
	p,p'-DDD	p,p'-DDT	p,p'-DDE	Methoxychlor
ER assays				
Active/Total Assays (%)	7/18 (39)	11/18 (61)	8/18 (44)	14/18 (78)
AC50 values (µM)	Range = 14.0 - 32.4 Median = 18.7	Range = 3.3 - 59.8 Median = 6.1	Range = 3.5 - 46.2 Median = 16.5	Range = 0.9 - 44.2 Median = 4.6
Agonist activity AUC value (95% CI) <sup>b</sup>	0.0715 (0.0342-0.0738)	0.190 (0.181-0.231)	0.0679 (0.0614-0.0963)	0.254 (0.247-0.260)
Antagonist activity AUC value (95% CI)	0	0	0	0
AR assays				
Active/Total Assays (%)	4/11 (36)	3/11 (27)	4/11 (36)	3/11 (27)
AC50 values (µM)	Range = 31.0 - 62.8 Median = 44.8	Range = 17.8 - 72.0 Median = 47.0	Range = 7.0 - 58.7 Median = 29.6	Range = 29.3 - 40.8 Median = 34.2
Agonist activity AUC value (95% CI)	0	0	0	0
Antagonist activity AUC value (95% CI)	0.0973 (0.0649-0.124)	0.0642 (0.0318-0.108)	0.251 (0.234-0.291)	0.0429 (0.0364-0.0465)
<sup>a</sup> Data were sourced from Judson et al. (2015) and Kleinstreuer et al. (2016). <sup>b</sup> 95% CI for the ER activity model were sourced from a subsequent publication to the Judson et al., (2015) study (Watt and Judson, 2018). Abbreviations: AUC = area under the curve score ranging from 0–1. An AUC value of 0 indicates that the chemical is inactive; CI = confidence interval.				

**Table 3. Evidence Integration Summary and Conclusion**

Similarity Context	Summary of Findings	Evidence Integration conclusions
Structure and physicochemical properties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>p,p'</i>-DDD and identified analogues (<i>p,p'</i>-DDT and <i>p,p'</i>-DDE and methoxychlor) demonstrate similarities in basic structural features (chlorinated diphenylalkane structure)</li> <li><i>p,p'</i>-DDT and <i>p,p'</i>-DDE also share key functional groups (<i>p,p'</i>-chlorine substituents) and physicochemical properties important for bioavailability (lipophilicity and low BCF values) with <i>p,p'</i>-DDD</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>p,p'</i>-DDT is selected as a suitable source analogue for the assessment of non-cancer oral toxicity of <i>p,p'</i>-DDD based largely on toxicokinetic similarities, with supportive information from <i>in vivo</i> toxicity testing, structural similarity evaluations and <i>in vitro</i> bioactivity from HTS assays</li> </ul>
Toxicokinetics	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>p,p'</i>-DDT is a metabolic precursor of <i>p,p'</i>-DDD and both chemicals show similarities in toxicokinetics (Absorption, Distribution and Metabolism [ADME]) in humans and experimental animal models (preferential partitioning into fat, similar metabolism and excretion pathways and prolonged elimination rates)</li> <li>Other analogues demonstrate differences in ADME in comparison to the target. <i>p,p'</i>-DDE is less metabolically active; methoxychlor is metabolized differently and appears to be less bioaccumulative</li> </ul>	<div>  <div> <p>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</p> <p>Office of Research and Development</p> <p>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</p> <p>Cincinnati, OH 45268</p> </div> </div> <div> <p>Provisional Peer-Reviewed Toxicity Values for</p> <p><i>p,p'</i>-Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane (<i>p,p'</i>-DDD)</p> <p>(CASRN 72-54-8)</p> </div> <div> <p>Superfund Health Risk Technical Support Center</p> <p>National Center for Environmental Assessment</p> <p>Office of Research and Development</p> <p>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</p> <p>Cincinnati, OH 45268</p> </div>
Toxicodynamic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Consistency and coherence across health effects in experimental animals for non-cancer oral toxicity among the analogues point to putative toxicity targets for <i>p,p'</i>-DDD (primarily liver and reproductive toxicity)</li> <li>Similarities in <i>in vitro</i> bioactivity profiles from ToxCast assays between the target and analogues with respect to cell-specific responses and target gene pathways provide mechanistic plausibility for the liver and reproductive effects associated with this group of chemicals</li> </ul>	



# Strengths, Limitations, and Challenges of Expert-Driven Read Across

## Strengths

- Provides an opportunity to develop human health values where none would be possible based on traditional risk assessment approaches and practice
- Approach is flexible, nimble, and evergreen

## Limitations

- Is not high-throughput per se
- Current approach is dependent on analogue space with existent health values

## Challenges

- Toxicokinetics (e.g., metabolism) is a critical similarity context that is often highly data-poor
- Increase throughput...



## Acknowledgements

- EPA Office of Research and Development:  
(NCEA) Lucina Lizarraga, Scott Wesselkamper, Jay Zhao, Jeff Dean  
(NCCT) Grace Patlewicz
- Questions?
  - Jason Lambert, ORD/NCCT – [Lambert.Jason@epa.gov](mailto:Lambert.Jason@epa.gov)